UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NEHEMIAH ROBINSON, Plaintiff, v. T. CATLETT, et al., Defendants.	Case No. 08cv161-H (BLM) **ORDER DENYING AS MOOT PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO CONTINUE FILING DEADLINE** [Doc. No. 31]

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

On July 14, 2008, Plaintiff filed a motion requesting a forty-day extension of time in which to file his opposition to Defendant’s motion to dismiss. Doc. No. 31. At the time Plaintiff drafted his motion for an extension of time, his opposition to Defendants’ motion to dismiss was due on July 1, 2008. Doc. No. 31; Doc. No. 19. However, the Court has since continued that deadline from July 1, 2008, until August 5, 2008. Doc. No. 29.[1] The deadline that Plaintiff moves to continue has therefore already been extended. Id. Plaintiff’s motion is therefore moot, and the Court denies it as such.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 15, 2008

BARBARA L. MAJOR
United States Magistrate Judge

COPY TO:

HONORABLE MARILYN L. HUFF
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

ALL COUNSEL

[1] On July 2, 2008, Plaintiff filed a first amended complaint. Doc. No. 26. In response to the new complaint, the Court continued pleading deadlines, including the deadline for Plaintiff’s response to any motion to dismiss Defendant may file. Id.